

ЗАКЛЮЧЕНИЕ

Эволюция ТП предстает не как линейный процесс, а как результат сложного взаимодействия универсальных молекулярных механизмов и видоспецифичных эволюционных траекторий. Таким образом, наблюдаемые в природе разнообразие и динамика ТП являются продуктом универсальных молекулярных процессов, особенность применения которых определяется специфическим режимом каждого генома — уникальным сочетанием скорости удаления ДНК, уровня точечных мутаций, популяционной истории, исторической активностью МЭ, уровня рекомбинации, возможных эпизодов гибридизации, особенностей мейоза, морфофункциональных ограничений и антропогенных влияний, включая одомашнивание. Понимание этих процессов необходимо для раскрытия роли ТП не только в архитектуре генома, но и в видообразовании и адаптации.

Важно подчеркнуть, что описанная динамика ТП — их амплификация, сокращение и быстрый

эволюционный оборот — имеет прямое эволюционное значение. Изменения в их репертуаре могут не только отражать популяционные процессы, что показано, например, для *Tribolium castaneum* (Feliciello et al., 2015), *Drosophila melanogaster* (Wei et al., 2014) и *L. migratoria* (Camacho et al., 2022), но и способствовать геномной дивергенции на популяционном уровне (Feliciello et al., 2015). В макроэволюционном контексте высокая скорость эволюции ТП и их локализация в гетерохроматиновых областях делают их, наряду с другими повторяющимися элементами, ключевым компонентом, определяющим структурное и количественное разнообразие геномов. Будучи «горячими точками» для хромосомных перестроек, они участвуют в формировании архитектуры генома (Adega et al., 2009; Paço et al., 2013; Rojo et al., 2015; Vozdova et al., 2016; Escudeiro et al., 2019), тогда как их амплификация или сокращение могут вносить вклад в вариацию размеров генома (Macas et al., 2015; Garrido-Ramos et al., 2025).

СПИСОК ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

1. Подгорная О. И., Остромышенский Д. И., Енукашвили Н. И. 2018. Кому нужен этот мусор, или Геномная тёмная материя. Биохимия. Т. 83. № 4. С. 450. (*Podgornaya O. I., Ostromyshenskii D. I., Enukashvily N. I.* 2018. Who needs this junk, or genomic dark matter. *Biochemistry (Moscow)*. V. 83. № 4. P. 450.) <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0006297918040156>
2. Сайфитдинова А. Ф. Организация некодирующих элементов в геномах птиц. Интегративная физиология. 2022. Т. 3. № 2. С. 185. (*Saifitdinova A. F.* 2022. Organisation of non-coding elements in avian genomes. *Integr. Physiol.* V. 3. No. 2. P. 185.) <https://doi.org/10.33910/2687-1270-2022-3-2-185-203>
3. Adega F., Guedes-Pinto H., Chaves R. 2009. Satellite DNA in the karyotype evolution of domestic animals — clinical considerations. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* V. 126. P. 12. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000245903>
4. Ahmed M., Liang P. 2012. Transposable elements are a significant contributor to tandem repeats in the human genome. *Comp. Funct. Genomics.* V. 2012. P. 1. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/947089>
5. Alisawi O., Richert-Pöggeler K. R., Heslop-Harrison J. S. P., Schwarzacher T. 2023. The nature and organization of satellite DNAs in *Petunia hybrida*, related, and ancestral genomes. *Front. Plant Sci.* V. 14. Art. ID: 1232588. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1232588>
6. Altomose N., Logsdon G. A., Bzikadze A. V., Sidhwani P., Langley S. A., Caldas G. V., Hoyt S. J., Uralsky L., Ryabov F. D., Shew C. J., Sauria M. E. G., Borchers M., Gershman A., Mikheenko A., Shepelev V. A. et al. 2022. Complete genomic and epigenetic maps of human centromeres. *Science.* V. 376. Art. ID: ab14178. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ab14178>
7. Bachtrog D. 2013. Y-chromosome evolution: emerging insights into processes of Y-chromosome degeneration. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* V. 14. P. 113. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3366>
8. Balzano E., Giunta S. 2020. Centromeres under pressure: evolutionary innovation in conflict with conserved function. *Genes.* V. 11. Art. ID: 912. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11080912>
9. Barceló F., Gutiérrez F., Barjau I., Portugal J. 1998. A theoretical perusal of the satellite DNA curvature in tenebrionid beetles. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* V. 16. P. 41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.1998.10508225>
10. Batistoni R., Pesole G., Marracci S., Nardi I. 1995. A tandemly repeated DNA family originated from SINE-related elements in the European plethodontid salamanders (Amphibia, Urodela). *J. Mol. Evol.* V. 40. P. 608—615. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00160508>

11. *Belyayev A., Jandová M., Josefiová J., Kalendar R., Mahelka V., Mandák B., Krak K.* 2020a. The major satellite DNA families of the diploid *Chenopodium album* aggregate species: arguments for and against the “library hypothesis”. *PLoS One*. V. 15. Art. ID: e0241206.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241206>
12. *Belyayev A., Josefiová J., Jandová M., Mahelka V., Krak K., Mandák B.* 2020b. Transposons and satellite DNA: on the origin of the major satellite DNA family in the *Chenopodium* genome. *Mob. DNA*. V. 11. P. 20.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13100-020-00219-7>
13. *Benslimane A. A., Dron M., Hartmann C., Rode A.* 1986. Small tandemly repeated DNA sequences of higher plants likely originate from a tRNA gene ancestor. *Nucleic Acids Res.* V. 14. Art. ID: 8111.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/14.20.8111>
14. *Bergeron L. A., Besenbacher S., Zheng J., Li P., Bertelsen M. F., Quintard B., Hoffman J. I., Li Z., St. Leger J., Shao C., Stiller J., Gilbert M. T. P., Schierup M. H., Zhang G.* 2023. Evolution of the germline mutation rate across vertebrates. *Nature*. V. 615. P. 285–291.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05752-y>
15. *Betancourt A. J., Wei K. H.-C., Huang Y., Lee Y. C. G.* 2024. Causes and consequences of varying transposable element activity: an evolutionary perspective. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.* V. 25. P. 1–25.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genom-120822-105708>
16. *Bilinski P., Distor K., Gutierrez-Lopez J., Mendoza G., Shi J., Dawe R. K., Ross-Ibarra J.* 2015. Diversity and evolution of centromere repeats in the maize genome. *Chromosoma*. 2015. V. 124. P. 57.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-014-0483-8>
17. *Biscotti M. A., Olmo E., Heslop-Harrison J. S.* 2015. Repetitive DNA in eukaryotic genomes. *Chromosome Res.* V. 23. P. 415.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-015-9499-z>
18. *Black B. E., Bassett E. A.* 2008. The histone variant CENP-A and centromere specification. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* V. 20. № 1. P. 91–100.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2007.11.007>
19. *Bourque G., Burns K. H., Gehring M., Gorbunova V., Seluanov A., Hammell M., Imbeault M., Izsvák Z., Levin H. L., Macfarlan Y. S., Mager D. L., Feschotte C.* 2018. Ten things you should know about transposable elements. *Genome Biol.* V. 19. № 1. P. 199.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1577-z>
20. *Brändle F., Frühbauer B., Jagannathan M.* 2022. Principles and functions of pericentromeric satellite DNA clustering into chromocenters. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* V. 128. P. 26–39.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.02.005>
21. *Bucci S., Raghianti M., Mancino G., Petroni G., Guerrini F., Giampaoli S.* 1999. Rana/Pol III: a family of SINE-like sequences in the genomes of Western Palearctic water frogs. *Genome*. V. 42. P. 504–511.
<https://doi.org/10.1139/g98-149>
22. *Buschiazzo E., Gemmell N. J.* 2006. The rise, fall and renaissance of microsatellites in eukaryotic genomes. *Bio Essays*. V. 28. P. 1040–1050.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.20470>
23. *Cabral-de-Mello D. C., Zrzavá M., Kubičková S., Rendón P., Marec F.* 2021. The role of satellite DNAs in genome architecture and sex chromosome evolution in Crambidae moths. *Front. Genet.* V. 12. Art. ID: 661417.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.661417>
24. *Camacho J. P. M., Cabrero J., López-León M. D., Martín-Pecina M., Perfectti F., Garrido-Ramos M. A., Ruiz-Ruano F. J.* 2022. Satellitome comparison of two oedipodine grasshoppers highlights the contingent nature of satellite DNA evolution. *BMC Biol.* V. 20. P. 36.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-021-01216-9>
25. *Cappelletti E., Piras F. M., Sola L. et al.* 2022. Robertsonian fusion and centromere repositioning contributed to the formation of satellite-free centromeres during the evolution of zebras. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* V. 39. № 8. Art. ID: msac162.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msac162>
26. *Chen C., Wu S., Sun Y., Zhou J., Chen Y., Zhang J., Birchler J. A., Han F., Yang N., Su H.* 2024. Three near-complete genome assemblies reveal substantial centromere dynamics from diploid to tetraploid in *Brachypodium* genus. *Genome Biol.* V. 25. P. 63.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-024-03206-w>

27. Chen Y.-L., Jones A. N., Crawford A., Sattler M., Ettinger A., Torres-Padilla M.-E. 2024. Determinants of minor satellite RNA function in chromosome segregation in mouse embryonic stem cells. *J. Cell Biol.* V. 223. Art. ID: e202309027. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202309027>
28. Chiatante G., Capozzi O., Svartman M., Perelman P., Centrone L., Romanenko S. S., Ishida T., Valeri M., Roelke-Parker M. E., Stanyon R. 2017. Centromere repositioning explains fundamental number variability in the New World monkey genus *Saimiri*. *Chromosoma*. V. 126. P. 519—529. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-016-0619-0>
29. Coats S. R., Zhang Y., Epstein L. M. 1994. Transcription of satellite 2 DNA from the newt is driven by a snRNA type of promoter. *Nucleic Acids Res.* V. 22. P. 4697—4704. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/22.22.4697>
30. Coen E., Strachan T., Dover G. 1982. Dynamics of concerted evolution of ribosomal DNA and histone gene families in the melanogaster species subgroup of *Drosophila*. *J. Mol. Biol.* V. 158. P. 17—35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(82\)90448-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(82)90448-X)
31. Dai S.-F., Zhu X.-G., Hutang G.-R., Hutang G.-R., Li J.-Y., Tian J.-Q., Jiang X.-H., Zhang D., Gao L.-Z. 2022. Genome size variation and evolution driven by transposable elements in the genus *Oryza*. *Front. Plant Sci.* V. 13. Art. ID: 921937. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.921937>
32. Dawe R. K., Henikoff S. 2006. Centromeres put epigenetics in the driver's seat. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* V. 31. P. 662—669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2006.10.004>
33. De Lucchini S., Andronico F., Nardi I. 1997. Molecular structure of the rDNA intergenic spacer (IGS) in *Triturus*: implications for the hypervariability of rDNA loci. *Chromosoma*. V. 106. P. 315—326. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004120050253>
34. DeBose-Scarlett E. M., Sullivan B. A. 2021. Genomic and epigenetic foundations of neocentromere formation. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* V. 55. P. 331—348. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-071719-020924>
35. Devos K. M., Brown J. K. M., Bennetzen J. L. 2002. Genome size reduction through illegitimate recombination counteracts genome expansion in *Arabidopsis*. *Genome Res.* V. 12. № 7. P. 1075—1079. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.132102>
36. Dias G. B., Heringer P., Svartman M., Kuhn G. C. S. 2015. Helitrons shaping the genomic architecture of *Drosophila*: enrichment of DINE-TR1 in α - and β -heterochromatin, satellite DNA emergence, and piRNA expression. *Chromosome Res.* V. 23. № 3. P. 597—613. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-015-9480-x>
37. Dias G. B., Svartman M., Delprat A., Ruiz A., Kuhn G. C. S. 2014. Tetris is a foldback transposon that provided the building blocks for an emerging satellite DNA of *Drosophila virilis*. *Genome Biol. Evol.* V. 6. № 6. P. 1302—1313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu108>
38. Dover G. 1982. Molecular drive: a cohesive mode of species evolution. *Nature*. V. 299. P. 111—117. <https://doi.org/10.1038/299111a0>
39. Drinnenberg I. A., de Young D., Henikoff S., Malik H. S. 2014. Recurrent loss of CenH3 is associated with independent transitions to holocentricity in insects. *eLife*. V. 3. Art. ID: e03676. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03676>
40. Dudka D., Dawicki-McKenna J. M., Sun X., Beeravolu K., Akera T., Lampson M. A., Black B. E. 2025. Satellite DNA shapes dictate pericentromere packaging in female meiosis. *Nature*. V. 638. P. 814—822. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08374-0>
41. Dudka D., Lampson M. A. 2022. Centromere drive: model systems and experimental progress. *Chromosome Res.* V. 30. P. 187—203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-022-09696-3>
42. Durfy S. J., Willard H. F. 1990. Concerted evolution of primate alpha satellite DNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* V. 216. P. 555—566. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(90\)90383-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(90)90383-W)
43. Escudeiro A., Ferreira D., Mendes-da-Silva A., Heslop-Harrison J. S., Adegas F., Chaves R. 2019. Bovine satellite DNAs — a history of the evolution of complexity and its impact in the Bovidae family. *Eur. Zool. J.* V. 86. P. 20—37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24750263.2018.1558294>
44. Erukashvily N. I., Dobrynin M. A., Chubar A. V. 2021. RNA-seeded membraneless bodies: role of tandemly repeated RNA. *Adv. Protein Chem. Struct. Biol.* V. 126. P. 243—272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apcsb.2020.12.007>

45. *Enukashvily N. I., Ponomartsev N. V., Ketkar A., Suezov R., Chubar A. V., Prjibelski A. D., Shafranskaya D. D., Elmshäuser S., Keber C. U., Stefanova V. N., Akopov A. L., Klingmüller U., Pfeffeler P. I., Stiewe T., Lauth M. et al.* 2023. Pericentromeric satellite lncRNAs are induced in cancer-associated fibroblasts and regulate their functions in lung tumorigenesis. *Cell Death Dis.* V. 14. P. 19.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-023-05553-1>
46. *Enukashvily N. I., Malashicheva A. B., Waisertreiger I. S.-R.* 2009. Satellite DNA spatial localization and transcriptional activity in mouse embryonic E-14 and IOUD2 stem cells. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* V. 124. P. 277—287.
<https://doi.org/10.1159/000218132>
47. *Feliciello I., Akrap I., Ugarković Đ.* 2015. Satellite DNA modulates gene expression in the beetle *Tribolium castaneum* after heat stress. *PLoS Genet.* V. 11. Art. ID: e1005466.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005466>
48. *Feliciello I., Pezer Ž., Sermek A., Mađarić B. B., Ljubić S., Ugarković Đ.* 2021. Satellite DNA-mediated gene expression regulation: physiological and evolutionary implication. In: *Ugarković Đ.* (Ed.). *Satellite DNAs in physiology and evolution. Progress in Molecular and Subcellular Biology.* V. 60. Springer International Publishing. P. 85—118.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74889-0_6
49. *Fernández P., Leitch I. J., Leitch A. R., Hidalgo O., Christenhusz M. J. M., Pokorny L., Pellicer J.* 2023. Giant fern genomes show complex evolution patterns: a comparative analysis in two species of Tmesipteris (Psilotaceae). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* V. 24. Art. ID: 2708.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24032708>
50. *Ferree P. M., Barbash D. A.* 2009. Species-specific heterochromatin prevents mitotic chromosome segregation to cause hybrid lethality in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Biol.* V. 7. № 10. Art. ID: e1000234.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000234>
51. *Feliciello I., Akrap I., Brajković J., Zlatar I., Ugarković Đ.* 2015. Satellite DNA as a driver of population divergence in the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum*. *Genome Biol. Evol.* V. 7. № 1. P. 228—239.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu280>
52. *Flamm W. G., McCallum M., Walker P. M.* 1967. The isolation of complementary strands from a mouse DNA fraction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* V. 57. № 6. P. 1729—1734.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.57.6.1729>
53. *Flamm W. G., Walker P. M. B., McCallum M.* 1969. Some properties of the single strands isolated from the DNA of the nuclear satellite of the mouse (*Mus musculus*). *J. Mol. Biol.* V. 40. P. 423—443.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(69\)90163-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(69)90163-6)
54. *Fry K., Salsler W.* 1977. Nucleotide sequences of HS- α satellite DNA from kangaroo rat *Dipodomys ordii* and characterization of similar sequences in other rodents. *Cell.* V. 12. P. 1069—1084.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(77\)90170-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(77)90170-2)
55. *Gall J. G., Atherton D. D.* 1974. Satellite DNA sequences in *Drosophila virilis*. *J. Mol. Biol.* V. 85. № 4. P. 633—664.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(74\)90321-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(74)90321-0)
56. *Gálvez-Galván A., Garrido-Ramos M. A., Prieto P.* 2024. The highly dynamic satellitomes of cultivated wheat species. *Ann. Bot.* V. 134. № 6. P. 975—992.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcae132>
57. *Ganley A. R. D., Kobayashi T.* 2007. Highly efficient concerted evolution in the ribosomal DNA repeats: total rDNA repeat variation revealed by whole-genome shotgun sequence data. *Genome Res.* V. 17. № 2. P. 184—191.
<https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.5457707>
58. *Garrido-Ramos M.* 2017. Satellite DNA: an evolving topic. *Genes.* V. 8. № 9. Art. ID: 230.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/genes8090230>
59. *Garrido-Ramos M. A., Plohl M., Šatović-Vukšić E.* 2025. Satellite DNA genomics: The ongoing story. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* V. 26. № 23. Art. ID: 11291.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms262311291>
60. *Goes C. A. G., Dos Santos R. Z., Clemente Aguiar W. R. et al.* 2022. Revealing the satellite DNA history in *Psalidodon* and *Astyanax Characid* fish by comparative satellitomics. *Front. Genet.* V. 13. Art. ID: 884072.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.884072>
61. *González M. L., Chiapella J., Topalian J., Urdampilleta J. D.* 2020. Genomic differentiation of *Deschampsia antarctica* and *D. cespitosa* (Poaceae) based on satellite DNA. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* V. 194. P. 326—341.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boaa045>

62. Grabundzija I., Messing S.A., Thomas J. et al. 2016. A helitron transposon reconstructed from bats reveals a novel mechanism of genome shuffling in eukaryotes. *Nat. Commun.* V. 7. P. 10716.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10716>
63. Graham D. E., Skinner D. M. 1972. Homologies of repetitive DNA sequences among Crustacea. *Chromosoma.* V. 40. № 2. P. 135–152.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00321459>
64. Guzmán K., Roco Á. S., Stöck M., García-Muñoz E., Bullejos M. 2022. Identification and characterization of a new family of long satellite DNA, specific of true toads (Anura, Amphibia, Bufonidae). *Sci. Rep.* V. 12. P. 13960.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-18051-9>
65. Haaf T. 1992. Integration of human α -satellite DNA into simian chromosomes: centromere protein binding and disruption of normal chromosome segregation. *Cell.* V. 70. P. 681–696.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(92\)90436-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90436-G)
66. Haber J. E., Louis E. J. 1998. Minisatellite origins in yeast and humans. *Genomics.* V. 48. P. 132–135.
<https://doi.org/10.1006/geno.1997.5153>
67. Han Y., Zhang Z., Liu C., Jin W. 2009. Centromere repositioning in cucurbit species: implication of the genomic impact from centromere activation and inactivation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* V. 106. P. 14937–14941.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904833106>
68. Hartley G., O'Neill R. J. 2019. Centromere repeats: hidden gems of the genome. *Genes.* V. 10. P. 223.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10030223>
69. Hasson D., Alonso A., Cheung F., Tepperberg J. H., Papenhausen P. R., Engelen J. J. M., Warburton P. E. 2011. Formation of novel CENP-A domains on tandem repetitive DNA and across chromosome breakpoints on human chromosome 8q21 neocentromeres. *Chromosoma.* V. 120. P. 621–632.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-011-0337-6>
70. Hastings P. J., Ira G., Lupski J. R. 2009a. A microbiology-mediated break-induced replication model for the origin of human copy number variation. *PLoS Genet.* V. 5. № 1. Art. ID: e1000327.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000327>
71. Hastings P. J., Lupski J. R., Rosenberg S. M., Ira G. 2009b. Mechanisms of change in gene copy number. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* V. 10. № 8. P. 551–564.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2593>
72. Heikkinen E., Launonen V., Müller E., Bachmann L. 1995. The pvB370 BamHI satellite DNA family of the *Drosophila virilis* group and its evolutionary relation to mobile dispersed genetic pDv elements. *J. Mol. Evol.* V. 41. P. 604–613.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00175819>
73. Heitkam T., Schulte L., Weber B. et al. 2021. Comparative repeat profiling of two closely related conifers (*Larix decidua* and *Larix kaempferi*) reveals high genome similarity with only few fast-evolving satellite DNAs. *Front. Genet.* V. 12. Art. ID: 683668.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.683668>
74. Henikoff S., Ahmad K., Malik H. S. 2001. The centromere paradox: stable inheritance with rapidly evolving DNA. *Science.* V. 293. № 5532. P. 1098–1102.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1062939>
75. Hennig W., Hennig I., Stein H. 1970. Repeated sequences in the DNA of *Drosophila* and their localization in giant chromosomes. *Chromosoma.* V. 32. № 1. P. 31–63.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00334010>
76. Hikosaka A., Kawahara A. 2004. Lineage-specific tandem repeats riding on a transposable element of MITE in *Xenopus* evolution: a new mechanism for creating simple sequence repeats. *J. Mol. Evol.* V. 59. P. 738–746.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-004-2664-1>
77. Hofstatter P. G., Thangavel G., Lux T. et al. 2022. Repeat-based holocentromeres influence genome architecture and karyotype evolution. *Cell.* V. 185. № 17. P. 3153–3168.e18.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.06.045>
78. Hughes A. L., Hughes M. K. 1995. Small genomes for better flyers. *Nature.* V. 377. P. 391.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/377391a0>
79. Hyman A. A., Sorger P. K. 1995. Structure and function of kinetochores in budding yeast. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* V. 11. № 1. P. 471–495.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cb.11.110195.002351>

80. Ideue T., Cho Y., Nishimura K., Tani T. 2014. Involvement of satellite I noncoding RNA in regulation of chromosome segregation. *Genes Cells*. V. 19. P. 528—538.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/gtc.12149>
81. Ikeno M., Masumoto H., Okazaki T. 1994. Distribution of CENP-B boxes Reflected in CREST centromere antigenic sites on long-range α -satellite DNA arrays of human chromosome 21. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* V. 3. P. 1245—1257.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/3.8.1245>
82. Ivanova N. G., Kartavtseva I. V., Stefanova V. N., Ostromyshenskii D. I., Podgornaya O. I. 2022. Tandem repeat diversity in two closely related hamster species – the Chinese hamster (*Cricetulus griseus*) and striped hamster (*Cricetulus barabensis*). *Biomedicines*. V. 10. № 4. Art. ID: 925.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines10040925>
83. Iwata-Otsubo A., Dawicki-McKenna J.M., Akera T., Falk S.J., Chmátal L., Yang K., Sullivan B.A., Schultz R.M., Lampson M.A., Black B.E. 2017. Expanded satellite repeats amplify a discrete CENP-A nucleosome assembly site on chromosomes that drive in female meiosis. *Curr. Biol.* V. 27. P. 2365—2373.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.06.069>
84. Jagannathan M., Cummings R., Yamashita Y.M. 2018. A conserved function for pericentromeric satellite DNA. *eLife*. V. 7. Art. ID: e34122.
<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34122>
85. Jain M., Olsen H.E., Turner D.J., Stoddart D., Bulazel K.V., Paten B., Haussler D., Willard H.F., Akeson M.A., Miga K.H. 2018. Linear assembly of a human centromere on the Y chromosome. *Nature Biotechnology*. V. 36. P. 321—323.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4109>
86. Ji Y., De Woody J.A. 2016. Genomic landscape of long terminal repeat retrotransposons (LTR-RTs) and solo LTRs as shaped by ectopic recombination in chicken and zebra finch. *J. Mol. Evol.* V. 82. P. 251—263.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-016-9741-0>
87. Jo S.-H., Koo D.-H., Kim J.F., Hur C.-G., Lee S., Yang T.-J., Kwon S.-Y., Choi D. 2009. Evolution of ribosomal DNA-derived satellite repeat in tomato genome. *BMC Plant Biol.* V. 9. P. 42.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-9-42>
88. Johnson W.L., Yewdell W.T., Bell J.C., McNulty S.M., Duda Z., O'Neill R.J., Sullivan B.A., Straight A.F. 2017. RNA-dependent stabilization of SUV39H1 at constitutive heterochromatin. *eLife*. V. 6. Art. ID: e25299.
<https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.25299>
89. Kalitsis P., Choo K.H.A. 2012. The evolutionary life cycle of the resilient centromere. *Chromosoma*. V. 121. P. 327—340.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-012-0369-6>
90. Kapitonov V.V., Jurka J. 2008. A universal classification of eukaryotic transposable elements implemented in Repbase. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* V. 9. P. 411—412.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2165-c1>
91. Kapusta A., Suh A., Feschotte C. 2017. Dynamics of genome size evolution in birds and mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. V. 114. № 8. P. e1460—e1469.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616702114>
92. Karpen G.H., Allshire R.C. 1997. The case for epigenetic effects on centromere identity and function. *Trends Genet.* V. 13. P. 489—496.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525\(97\)01298-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525(97)01298-5)
93. Klintschar M., Dauber E.-M., Ricci U., Cerri N., Immel U.-D., Kleiber M., Mayr W.R. 2004. Haplotype studies support slippage as the mechanism of germline mutations in short tandem repeats. *Electrophoresis*. V. 25. P. 3344—3348.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.200406069>
94. Kit S. 1961. Equilibrium sedimentation in density gradients of DNA preparations from animal tissues. *J. Mol. Biol.* V. 3. № 6. P. 711IN1—716IN2.
95. Knight C.A. 2005. The large genome constraint hypothesis: evolution, ecology and phenotype. *Ann. Bot.* V. 95. № 1. P. 177—190.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci011>
96. Koga A., Tanabe H., Hirai Y., Imai H., Imamura M., Oishi T., Stanyon R., Hirai H. 2017. Co-opted megasatellite DNA drives evolution of secondary night vision in Azara's owl monkey. *Genome Biol. Evol.* V. 9. P. 1963—1970.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evx142>
97. Komissarov A.S., Gavrilova E.V., Demin S.J., Ishov A.M., Podgornaya O.I. 2011. Tandemly repeated DNA families in the mouse genome. *BMC Genomics*. V. 12. P. 531.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-531>

98. Kuhn G. C.S., Heringer P., Dias G. B. 2021. Structure, organization, and evolution of satellite DNAs: insights from the *Drosophila repleta* and *D. virilis* species groups. In: Ugarković Đ. (Ed.). Satellite DNAs in physiology and evolution. Progress in molecular and subcellular biology. V. 60. Springer International Publishing. P. 39–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74889-0_2
99. Kuhn G. C.S., Küttler H., Moreira-Filho O., Heslop-Harrison J.S. 2012. The 1.688 repetitive DNA of *Drosophila*: concerted evolution at different genomic scales and association with genes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* V. 29. P. 7–11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr173>
100. Lanfear R., Welch J. J., Bromham L. 2010. Watching the clock: studying variation in rates of molecular evolution between species. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* V. 25. P. 495–503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.06.007>
101. Larracuente A. M. 2014. The organization and evolution of the responder satellite in species of the *Drosophila melanogaster* group: dynamic evolution of a target of meiotic drive. *BMC Evol. Biol.* V. 14. P. 233. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-014-0233-9>
102. Liao Y., Smyth G. K., Shi W. 2014. Feature counts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics.* V. 30. P. 923–930. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656>
103. Liehr T., Weise A. 2007. Frequency of small supernumerary marker chromosomes in prenatal, newborn, developmentally retarded and infertility diagnostics. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* V. 19. № 5. P. 719–731. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.19.5.719>
104. Liu P., Cuerda-Gil D., Shahid S., Slotkin R. K. 2022. The epigenetic control of the transposable element life cycle in plant genomes and beyond. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* V. 56. P. 63–87. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-072920-015534>
105. Logsdon G. A., Rozanski A. N., Ryabov F., Potapova T., Shepelev V. A., Catacchio C. R., Porubsky D., Mao Y., Yoo D., Rautiainen M., Koren S., Nurk S., Lucas J. K., Hoekzema K., Munson K. M. et al. 2024. The variation and evolution of complete human centromeres. *Nature.* V. 629. P. 136–145. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07278-3>
106. Lohe A. R. 1977. Highly repeated DNA in *Drosophila simulans*: chromosomal organization and evolutionary stability. Ph.D. Thesis, Australian National University, Canberra. Google Schola.
107. López-Flores I., Garrido-Ramos M.A. 2012. The repetitive DNA content of eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Dyn.* V. 7. P. 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000337118>
108. Ma J., Wing R. A., Bennetzen J. L., Jackson S. A. 2007. Plant centromere organization: a dynamic structure with conserved functions. *Trends Genet.* V. 23. № 3. P. 134–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2007.01.004>
109. Macas J., Koblížková A., Navrátilová A., Neumann P. 2009. Hypervariable 3' UTR region of plant LTR-retrotransposons as a source of novel satellite repeats. *Gene.* V. 448. P. 198–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2009.06.014>
110. Macas J., Navratilova A., Meszaros T. 2003. Sequence subfamilies of satellite repeats related to rDNA intergenic spacer are differentially amplified on *Vicia sativa* chromosomes. *Chromosoma.* V. 112. P. 152–158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00412-003-0255-3>
111. Macas J., Novák P., Pellicer J., Čížková J., Koblížková A., Neumann P., Fuková I., Doležel J., Kelly L. J., Leitch I. J. 2015. In depth characterization of repetitive DNA in 23 plant genomes reveals sources of genome size variation in the legume tribe Fabeae. *PLoS One.* V. 10. Art. ID: e0143424. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143424>
112. McKinley K.L., Cheeseman I. M. 2016. The molecular basis for centromere identity and function. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* V. 17. P. 16–29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2015.5>
113. Malik H. S., Henikoff S. 2001. Adaptive evolution of Cid, a centromere-specific histone in *Drosophila*. *Genetics.* V. 157. P. 1293–1298. <https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/157.3.1293>
114. Maloney K. A., Sullivan L. L., Matheny J. E., Sullivan B. A. 2012. Functional epialleles at an endogenous human centromere. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* V. 109. P. 13704–13709. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203126109>

115. *Martins C., Ferreira I. A., Oliveira C., Foresti F., Galetti P. M.* 2006. A tandemly repetitive centromeric DNA sequence of the fish *Hoplias malabaricus* (Characiformes: Erythrinidae) is derived from 5S rDNA. *Genetica*. V. 127. P. 133—141. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10709-005-2674-y>
116. *Masumoto H., Masukata H., Muro Y., Nozaki N., Okazaki T.* 1989. A human centromere antigen (CENP-B) interacts with a short specific sequence in alphoid DNA, a human centromeric satellite. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* V. 109. P. 1963—1973. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.109.5.1963>
117. *Mateo L., González J.* 2014. Pogo-like transposases have been repeatedly domesticated into CENP-B-related proteins. *Genome Biol. Evol.* V. 6. P. 2008—2016. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu153>
118. *McAllister B. F., Werren J. H.* 1999. Evolution of tandemly repeated sequences: what happens at the end of an array? *J. Mol. Evol.* V. 48. P. 469—481. <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00006491>
119. *McCann J., Macas J., Novák P., Stuessy T. F., Villaseñor J. L., Weiss-Schneeweiss H.* 2020. Differential genome size and repetitive DNA evolution in diploid species of *Melampodium* sect. *Melampodium* (Asteraceae). *Front. Plant Sci.* V. 11. P. 362. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00362>
120. *McGurk M. P., Barbash D. A.* 2018. Double insertion of transposable elements provides a substrate for the evolution of satellite DNA. *Genome Res.* V. 28. P. 714—725. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.231472.117>
121. *Melters D. P., Bradnam K. R., Young H. A., Telis N., May M. R., Ruby J. G., Sebra R., Peluso P., Eid J., Rank D., Garcia J. F., DeRisi J. L., Smith T., Tobias C., Ross-Ibarra J. et al.* 2013. Comparative analysis of tandem repeats from hundreds of species reveals unique insights into centromere evolution. *Genome Biol.* V. 14. Art. ID: R10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r10>
122. *Mestrovic N., Plohl M., Mravinac B., Ugarkovic D.* 1998. Evolution of satellite DNAs from the genus *Palorus* — experimental evidence for the “library” hypothesis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* V. 15. P. 1062—1068. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026005>
123. *Meyerhof W., Wittig B., Tappeser B., Knöchel W.* 1987. Transcription termination and processing of transcripts from tRNA-related *Xenopus* satellite DNA sequences. *Eur. J. Biochem.* V. 164. P. 287—293. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1987.tb11056.x>
124. *Michalak P.* 2009. Epigenetic, transposon and small RNA determinants of hybrid dysfunctions. *Heredity*. V. 102. № 1. P. 45—50. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2008.48>
125. *Mravinac B., Plohl M.* 2007. Satellite DNA junctions identify the potential origin of new repetitive elements in the beetle *Tribolium madens*. *Gene*. V. 394. № 1—2. P. 45—52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2007.01.019>
126. *Muravenko O. V., Yurkevich O. Yu., Kalnyuk J. V., Samatadze T. E., Zoshchuk S. A., Amosova A. V.* 2022. Integration of repeatomic and cytogenetic data on satellite DNA for the genome analysis in the genus *Salvia* (Lamiaceae). *Plants*. V. 11. № 17. Art. ID: 2244. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11172244>
127. *Muro Y., Masumoto H., Yoda K., Nozaki N., Ohashi M., Okazaki T.* 1992. Centromere protein B assembles human centromeric alpha-satellite DNA at the 17-bp sequence, CENP-B box. *J. Cell Biol.* V. 116. P. 585—596. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.116.3.585>
128. *Naish M., Henderson I. R.* 2024. The structure, function, and evolution of plant centromeres. *Genome Res.* V. 34. P. 161—178. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.278409.123>
129. *Nambiar M., Smith G. R.* 2016. Repression of harmful meiotic recombination in centromeric regions. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* V. 54. P. 188—197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.01.042>
130. *Ninomiya K., Yamazaki T., Hirose T.* 2023. Satellite RNAs: emerging players in subnuclear architecture and gene regulation. *EMBO J.* V. 42. Art. ID: e114433. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2023114433>
131. *Nishihara H., Stanyon R., Kusumi J., Hirai H., Koga A.* 2018. Evolutionary origin of Owl Rep, a mega satellite DNA associated with adaptation of owl monkeys to nocturnal lifestyle. *Genome Biol. Evol.* V. 10. P. 157—165. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evx281>

132. O'Neill R.J., O'Neill M.J., Graves J.A.M. 1998. Undermethylation associated with retroelement activation and chromosome remodelling in an interspecific mammalian hybrid. *Nature*. V. 393. P. 68–72.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/29985>
133. Ostromyshenskii D. I., Chernyaeva E. N., Kuznetsova I. S., Podgornaya O. I. 2018. Mouse chromocenters DNA content: sequencing and in silico analysis. *BMC Genomics*. V. 19. P. 460.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4534-z>
134. Packiaraj J., Thakur J. 2024. DNA satellite and chromatin organization at mouse centromeres and pericentromeres. *Genome Biol*. V. 25. P. 52.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-024-03184-z>
135. Paço A., Chaves R., Vieira-da-Silva A., Adegas F. 2013. The involvement of repetitive sequences in the remodelling of karyotypes: The Phodopus genomes (Rodentia, Cricetidae). *Micron*. V. 46. P. 27–34.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micron.2012.11.010>
136. Paço A., Freitas R., Vieira-da-Silva A. 2019. Conversion of DNA sequences: from a transposable element to a tandem repeat or to a gene. *Genes*. V. 10. Art. ID: 1014.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10121014>
137. Palacios-Gimenez O.M., Koelman J., Palmada-Flores M., Bradford T. M., Jones K. K., Cooper S. J. B., Kawakami T., Suh A. 2020. Comparative analysis of Morabine grasshopper genomes reveals highly abundant transposable elements and rapidly proliferating satellite DNA repeats. *BMC Biol*. V. 18. P. 199.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-00925-x>
138. Pâques F., Leung W.-Y., Haber J. E. 1998. Expansions and contractions in a tandem repeat induced by double-strand break repair. *Mol. Cell. Biol*. V. 18. P. 2045–2054.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.18.4.2045>
139. Pavlek M., Gelfand Y., Plohl M., Meštrović N. 2015. Genome-wide analysis of tandem repeats in *Tribolium castaneum* genome reveals abundant and highly dynamic tandem repeat families with satellite DNA features in euchromatic chromosomal arms. *DNA Res*. V. 22. P. 387–401.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsv021>
140. Peona V., Kutschera V. E., Blom M. P. K., Irestedt M., Suh A. 2023. Satellite DNA evolution in Corvoidea inferred from short and long reads. *Mol. Ecol*. V. 32. P. 1288–1305.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16484>
141. Pérez-Gutiérrez M. A., Suárez-Santiago V. N., López-Flores I., Romero A. T., Garrido-Ramos M. A. 2012. Concerted evolution of satellite DNA in Sarcocapnos: a matter of time. *Plant Mol. Biol*. V. 78. P. 19–29.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-011-9848-z>
142. Petrov D. A. 2002. DNA loss and evolution of genome size in *Drosophila*. *Genetica*. V. 115. P. 81–91.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016076215168>
143. Petrov D. A. 2002. Mutational equilibrium model of genome size evolution. *Theor. Popul. Biol*. V. 61. P. 531–544.
<https://doi.org/10.1006/tpbi.2002.1605>
144. Piégu B., Arensburger P., Beauclair L., Chabault M., Raynaud E., Coustham V., Brard S., Guizard S., Burlot T., Le Bihan-Duval E., Bigot Y. 2020. Variations in genome size between wild and domesticated lineages of fowls belonging to the *Gallus gallus* species. *Genomics*. V. 112. P. 1660–1673.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.10.004>
145. Platt R. N., Vandewege M. W., Ray D. A. 2018. Mammalian transposable elements and their impacts on genome evolution. *Chromosome Res*. V. 26. P. 25–43.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-017-9570-z>
146. Plohl M., Petrović V., Luchetti A., Ricci A., Šatović E., Passamonti M., Mantovani B. 2010. Long-term conservation vs high sequence divergence: the case of an extraordinarily old satellite DNA in bivalve mollusks. *Heredity*. V. 104. P. 543–551.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2009.141>
147. Podgornaya O. I. 2022. Nuclear organization by satellite DNA, SAF-A/hnRNPU and matrix attachment regions. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol*. V. 128. P. 87–96.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.04.018>
148. Pons J., Petitpierre E., Juan C. 1993. Characterization of the heterochromatin of the darkling beetle *Misolampus goudoti*: cloning of two satellite DNA families and digestion of chromosomes with restriction enzymes. *Hereditas*. V. 119. P. 179–185.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1993.00179.x>

149. Popova M. A., Komissarov A. S., Ostromyshenskii D. I., Podgornaya O. I., Travina A. O. 2025. Large tandem repeats of grass frog (*Rana temporaria*) in silico and in situ. *BMC Genomics*. V. 26. P. 445.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-025-11643-5>
150. Probst A. V., Okamoto I., Casanova M., El Marjou F., Le Baccon P., Almouzni G. 2010. A strand-specific burst in transcription of pericentric satellites is required for chromocenter formation and early mouse development. *Dev. Cell*. V. 19. P. 625–638.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2010.09.002>
151. Prosser J., Moar M., Brow M., Jones K. W. 1973. Satellite sequences in chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. V. 319. P. 122–134.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2787\(73\)90003-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2787(73)90003-8)
152. Quesada Del Bosque M. E., López-Flores I., Suárez-Santiago V. N., Garrido-Ramos M. A. 2013. Differential spreading of HinfI satellite DNA variants during radiation in Centaureinae. *Ann. Bot.* V. 112. P. 1793–1802.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mct233>
153. Renny-Byfield S., Kovarik A., Kelly L. J., Macas J., Novák P., Chase M. W., Nichols R. A., Pancholi M. R., Grandbastien M.-A., Leitch A. R. 2013. Diploidization and genome size change in allopolyploids is associated with differential dynamics of low- and high-copy sequences. *Plant J.* V. 74. P. 829–839.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12168>
154. Rice W. R. 2020. Why do centromeres evolve so fast: BIR replication, hypermutation, transposition, and molecular-drive. *Preprints*.
<https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202012.0669.v1>
155. Rico-Porras J. M., Mora P., Gasparotto A. E. et al. 2025. Expansion of satellite DNAs derived from transposable elements in beetles with reduced diploid numbers. *Heredity*. V. 134. P. 529–541.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-025-00790-w>
156. Rojo V., Martínez-Lage A., Giovannotti M., González-Tizón A. M., Cerioni P. N., Barucchi V. C., Galán P., Olmo E., Naveira H. 2015. Evolutionary dynamics of two satellite DNA families in rock lizards of the genus *Iberolacerta* (Squamata, Lacertidae): Different histories but common traits. *Chromosome Res.* V. 23. P. 441–461.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-015-9489-1>
157. Rosandić M., Paar V., Basar I., Glunčić M., Pavin N., Pilaš I. 2006. CENP-B box and pJ α sequence distribution in human alpha satellite higher-order repeats (HOR). *Chromosome Res.* V. 14. P. 735–753.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-006-1078-x>
158. Rošić S., Köhler F., Erhardt S. 2014. Repetitive centromeric satellite RNA is essential for kinetochore formation and cell division. *J. Cell Biol.* V. 207. P. 335–349.
<https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201404097>
159. Ruiz-Ruano F. J., Navarro-Domínguez B., Camacho J. P. M., Garrido-Ramos M. A. 2019. Characterization of the satellitome in lower vascular plants: the case of the endangered fern *Vandenboschia speciosa*. *Ann. Bot.* V. 123. P. 587–599.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcy192>
160. Ruiz-Ruano F. J., López-León M. D., Cabrero J., Camacho J. P. M. 2016. High-throughput analysis of the satellitome illuminates satellite DNA evolution. *Sci. Rep.* V. 6. P. 28333.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28333>
161. Sales-Oliveira V. C., Dos Santos R. Z., Goes C. A. G., Calegari R. M., Garrido-Ramos M. A., Altmanová M., Ezaz T., Liehr T., Porto-Foresti F., Utsunomia R., Cioffi M. B. 2024. Evolution of ancient satellite DNAs in extant alligators and caimans (Crocodylia, Reptilia). *BMC Biol.* V. 22. P. 47.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-024-01847-8>
162. Šatović-Vukšić E., Plohl M. 2023. Satellite DNAs—from localized to highly dispersed genome components. *Genes*. V. 14. P. 742.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14030742>
163. Scalvenzi T., Pollet N. 2014. Insights on genome size evolution from a miniature inverted repeat transposon driving a satellite DNA. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* V. 81. P. 1–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.08.014>
164. Schield D. R., Perry B. W., Card D. C., Pasquesi G. I. M., Westfall A. K., Mackessy S. P., Castoe T. A. 2022. The rattlesnake W chromosome: a GC-rich retroelement refugium with retained gene function across ancient evolutionary strata. *Genome Biol. Evol.* V. 14. Art. ID: evac116.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evac116>

165. Schueler M. G., Higgins A. W., Rudd M. K., Gustashaw K., Willard H. F. 2001. Genomic and genetic definition of a functional human centromere. *Science*. V. 294. P. 109—115.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065042>
166. Senaratne A. P., Cortes-Silva N., Drinnenberg I. A. 2022. Evolution of holocentric chromosomes: drivers, diversity, and deterrents. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* V. 127. P. 90—99.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.01.003>
167. Sharma A., Wolfgruber T. K., Presting G. G. 2013. Tandem repeats derived from centromeric retrotransposons. *BMC Genomics*. V. 14. P. 142.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-142>
168. Shepelev V. A., Alexandrov A. A., Yurov Y. B., Alexandrov I. A. 2009. The evolutionary origin of man can be traced in the layers of defunct ancestral alpha satellites flanking the active centromeres of human chromosomes. *PLoS Genet.* V. 5. Art. ID: e1000641.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000641>
169. Shepelev V. A., Uralsky L. I., Alexandrov A. A., Yurov Y. B., Rogaev E. I., Alexandrov I. A. 2015. Annotation of supra-chromosomal families reveals uncommon types of alpha satellite organization in pericentromeric regions of Hg38 human genome assembly. *Genomics Data*. V. 5. P. 139—146.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gdata.2015.05.035>
170. Silva D. M. Z. A., Utsunomia R., Ruiz-Ruano F. J. et al. 2017. High-throughput analysis unveils a highly shared satellite DNA library among three species of fish genus *Astyanax*. *Sci. Rep.* V. 7. P. 12726.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12939-7>
171. Smith G. P. 1976. Evolution of repeated DNA sequences by unequal crossover: DNA whose sequence is not maintained by selection will develop periodicities as a result of random crossover. *Science*. V. 191. P. 528—535.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251186>
172. Sotero-Caio C. G., Platt R. N., Suh A., Ray D. A. 2017. Evolution and diversity of transposable elements in vertebrate genomes. *Genome Biol. Evol.* V. 9. P. 161—177.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evw264>
173. Southern E. M. 1970. Base sequence and evolution of guinea-pig α -satellite DNA. *Nature*. V. 227. P. 794—798.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/227794a0>
174. Souza F. H. S., Toma G. A., Dziechciarz Vidal J. A., Garrido-Ramos M. A., Souza G. M., Dos Santos R. Z., Porto-Foresti F., Liehr T., Utsunomia R., Cioffi M. B. 2025. Comparative satellitomics in arowanas (Telostei, Osteoglossiformes) sheds light on the evolution of ancient satellite DNAs. *Integr. Zool.*
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.13008>
175. Souza L. H. B., Ferro J. M., Milanez H. M., Haddad C. F. B., Lourenço L. B. 2025. New insights into the sex chromosome evolution of the common barker frog species complex (Anura, *Leptodactylidae*) inferred from its satellite DNA content. *Biomolecules*. V. 15. Art. ID: 876.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/biom15060876>
176. Stephan W. 1989. Tandem-repetitive noncoding DNA: forms and forces. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* V. 6. № 2. P. 198—212.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040542>
177. Sun C., Arriaza J. R. L., Mueller R. L. 2012. Slow DNA loss in the gigantic genomes of salamanders. *Genome Biol. Evol.* V. 4. P. 1340—1348.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evs103>
178. Sutton W. D., McCallum M. 1972. Related satellite DNA's in the genus *Mus*. *J. Mol. Biol.* V. 71. P. 633—652.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836\(72\)80028-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(72)80028-7)
179. Talbert P. B., Henikoff S. 2025. Centromeres drive and take a break. *Chromosome Res.* V. 33. P. 17.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10577-025-09777-z>
180. Targueta C. P., Gatto K. P., Vittorazzi S. E., Recco-Pimentel S. M., Lourenço L. B. 2023. High diversity of 5S ribosomal DNA and evidence of recombination with the satellite DNA PcP190 in frogs. *Gene*. V. 851. Art. ID: 147015.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2022.147015>
181. Thakur J., Packiaraj J., Henikoff S. 2021. Sequence, chromatin and evolution of satellite DNA. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* V. 22. № 9. Art. ID: 4309.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22094309>
182. Thomas J., Pritham E. J. 2015. Helitrons, the eukaryotic rolling-circle transposable elements. *Microbiol. Spectr.* V. 3. № 4. P. MDNA3-0049-2014.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0049-2014>

183. Thomas J., Vadnagara K., Pritham E. J. 2014. DINE-1, the highest copy number repeats in *Drosophila melanogaster* are non-autonomous endonuclease-encoding rolling-circle transposable elements (Helentrons). *Mob. DNA*. V. 5. P. 18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1759-8753-5-18>
184. Tunjić-Cvitanić M., García-Souto D., Pasantes J. J., Šatović-Vukšić E. 2024. Dominance of transposable element-related satDNAs results in great complexity of “satDNA library” and invokes the extension towards “repetitive DNA library”. *Mar. Life Sci. Technol.* V. 6. P. 236–251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42995-024-00218-0>
185. Ugarković Đ. (Ed.) 2021. Satellite DNAs in physiology and evolution. *Progress in molecular and subcellular biology*. V. 60. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74889-0>
186. Ugarković Đ., Plohl M. 2002. Variation in satellite DNA profiles—causes and effects. *EMBO J.* V. 21. P. 5955–5959. <https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdf612>
187. Ugarković Đ., Sermek A., Ljubić S., Feliciello I. 2022. Satellite DNAs in health and disease. *Genes*. V. 13. Art. ID: 1154. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13071154>
188. Ungerer M. C., Strakosh S. C., Zhen Y. 2006. Genome expansion in three hybrid sunflower species is associated with retrotransposon proliferation. *Curr. Biol.* V. 16. P. R872–R873. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.09.020>
189. Vassetzky N. S., Kosushkin S. A., Ryskov A. P. 2023. SINE-derived satellites in scaled reptiles. *Mob. DNA*. V. 14. P. 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13100-023-00309-2>
190. Ventura M., Antonacci F., Cardone M. F. et al. 2007. Evolutionary formation of new centromeres in macaque. *Science*. V. 316. P. 243–246. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1140615>
191. Vitales D., Álvarez I., Garcia S. et al. 2020. Genome size variation at constant chromosome number is not correlated with repetitive DNA dynamism in *Anacyclus* (Asteraceae). *Ann. Bot.* V. 125. № 4. P. 611–623. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz183>
192. Vitte C., Panaud O. 2005. LTR retrotransposons and flowering plant genome size: emergence of the increase/decrease model. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* V. 110. № 1-4. P. 91–107. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000084941>
193. Vittorazzi S. E., Lourenço L. B., Del-Grande M. L., Recco-Pimentel S. M. 2011. Satellite DNA derived from 5S rDNA in *Phyllaemus cuvieri* (Anura, Leiuperidae). *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* V. 134. P. 101–107. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000325540>
194. Vojvoda Zeljko T., Pavlek M., Meštrović N., Plohl M. 2020. Satellite DNA-like repeats are dispersed throughout the genome of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* carried by Helentron non-autonomous mobile elements. *Sci. Rep.* V. 10. P. 15107. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-71886-y>
195. Vondrak T., Ávila Robledillo L., Novák P., Koblížková A., Neumann P., Macas J. 2020. Characterization of repeat arrays in ultra-long nanopore reads reveals frequent origin of satellite DNA from retrotransposon-derived tandem repeats. *Plant J.* V. 101. P. 484–500. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14546>
196. Vozdova M., Kubickova S., Cernohorska H., Fröhlich J., Rubes J. 2016. Satellite DNA sequences in Canidae and their chromosome distribution in dog and red fox. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* V. 150. P. 118–127. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000455081>
197. Wang Y., Zhao L., Wang D. et al. 2025. Four near-complete genome assemblies reveal the landscape and evolution of centromeres in *Salicaceae*. *Genome Biol.* V. 26. P. 111. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-025-03578-7>
198. Wei K. H.-C., Grenier J. K., Barbash D. A., Clark A. G. 2014. Correlated variation and population differentiation in satellite DNA abundance among lines of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. V. 111. P. 18793–18798. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1421951112>
199. Wei K. H.-C., Chan C., Bachtrog D. 2021. Establishment of H3K9me3-dependent heterochromatin during embryogenesis in *Drosophila miranda*. *eLife*. V. 10. Art. ID: e55612. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.55612>
200. Wicker T., Guyot R., Yahiaoui N., Keller B. 2003. CACTA transposons in Triticeae. A diverse family of high-copy repetitive elements. *Plant Physiol.* V. 132. P. 52–63. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.102.015743>

201. Willard H. F. 1985. Chromosome-specific organization of human alpha satellite DNA. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* V. 37. P. 524–532.
202. Wright N. A., Gregory T. R., Witt C. C. 2014. Metabolic “engines” of flight drive genome size reduction in birds. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* V. 281. Art. ID: 20132780.
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2780>
203. Włodzimirz P., Rabanal F. A., Burns R., Naish M., Primetis E., Scott A., Mandáková T., Gorringer N., Tock A. J., Holland D., Fritsch K., Habring A., Lanz C., Patel C., Schlegel T. et al. 2023. Cycles of satellite and transposon evolution in Arabidopsis centromeres. *Nature.* V. 618. P. 557–565.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06062-z>
204. Wolfgruber T. K., Sharma A., Schneider K. L., Albert P. S., Koo D.-H., Shi J., Gao Z., Han F., Lee H., Xu R., Allison J., Birchler J. A., Jiang J., Dawe R. K., Presting G. G. 2009. Maize centromere structure and evolution: sequence analysis of centromeres 2 and 5 reveals dynamic loci shaped primarily by retrotransposons. *PLoS Genet.* V. 5. Art. ID: e1000743.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000743>
205. Xiong W., Dooner H. K., Du C. 2016. Rolling-circle amplification of centromeric helitrons in plant genomes. *Plant J.* V. 88. P. 1038–1045.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13314>
206. Yücel G., Borowska-Zuchowska N., Bisaga M., Nowak T., Kolano B. 2025. Evolutionary history written in tandem arrays — satellite repeats in the Lagoseris lineage of *Crepis sensu lato* (Asteraceae). *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* V. 208. № 2. P. 167–181.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boae067>
207. Yurkevich O. Yu., Samatadze T. E., Zoshchuk S. A., Semenov A. R., Morozov A. I., Selyutina I. Y., Amosova A. V., Muravenko O. V. 2024. Repeatome analysis and satellite DNA chromosome patterns in *Hedysarum species*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* V. 25. Art. ID: 12340.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms252212340>
208. Zagorski D., Hartmann M., Bertrand Y. J. K., Pařtová L., Slavíková R., Josefiová J., Fehrer J. 2020. Characterization and dynamics of repeatomes in closely related species of *Hieracium* (Asteraceae) and their synthetic and apomictic hybrids. *Front. Plant Sci.* V. 11. Art. ID: 591053.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.591053>
209. Zattera M. L., Bruschi D. P. 2022. Transposable elements as a source of novel repetitive DNA in the eukaryote genome. *Cells.* V. 11. Art. ID: 3373.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11213373>
210. Zedek F., Bureř P. 2016. Absence of positive selection on CenH3 in *Luzula* suggests that holokinetic chromosomes may suppress centromere drive. *Ann. Bot.* V. 118. P. 1347–1352.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcw186>
211. Zimmer E. A., Martin S. L., Beverley S. M., Kan Y. W., Wilson A. C. 1980. Rapid duplication and loss of genes coding for the alpha chains of hemoglobin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* V. 77. P. 2158–2162.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.77.4.2158>
212. Zuo B., Nneji L. M., Sun Y.-B. 2023. Comparative genomics reveals insights into anuran genome size evolution. *BMC Genomics.* V. 24. P. 379.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-023-09499-8>